Dose-Dependent Effects of CDA on Chondrocyte Response on Polymer-Ceramic Composite Scaffolds

Margaret K. Boushell, Kevin R. Caparino, Helen H. Lu

Columbia University, New York, NY

Statement of Purpose: Tissue engineered grafts represent a promising approach to cartilage repair; however, the regeneration of a stable calcified cartilage layer between newly generated cartilage and bone remains a clinical challenge [1]. Articular cartilage integrates with bone via a calcified cartilage interface that functions as a barrier to osseous upgrowth during cartilage healing [2]. Regeneration of this interface is critical for integrative cartilage repair. Our prior study revealed that calcium deficient apatite (CDA) nanoparticles incorporated in agarose hydrogel facilitated the formation of a calcified cartilage-like matrix [3]. Building on these findings, we sought to optimize a biodegradable system for calcified cartilage repair using CDA. To this end, electrospinning is a versatile fabrication technique that can be used to generate porous scaffolds with high surface area [4]. The objective of this study is to investigate chondrocyte response to polymer microfibers as a function of CDA dose (10-20%, w/w). Deep zone chondrocytes (DZCs) are used because they are located closest to the osteochondral interface. It is hypothesized that changes in the CDA dose will modulate DZC matrix deposition and mineralization.

Methods: Scaffold Fabrication: Unaligned microfiber scaffolds composed of a 5:1 blend of PLGA poly(lactideco-glycolide) (PLGA 85:15, Lakeshore) and poly(Ecaprolactone) (PCL, Sigma) were fabricated with 0, 10, 15, and 20% (w/w) CDA nanoparticles (Sigma) via electrospinning [4]. The molar Ca/P ratio of the CDA was characterized using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis (n=6). Scaffolds were imaged using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and fiber diameter was measured (ImageJ). Cells and Cell Culture: DZCs were isolated from the bottom 30% of femoral calf cartilage and seeded onto scaffolds after 72 hours of monolayer culture. Cell-laden scaffolds were maintained in ITS media with 50 µg/mL ascorbic acid. Cell Growth, Biosynthesis, and Mineralization: Total DNA, glycosaminoglycan (GAG) and collagen production were measured using the Picogreen dsDNA assay (Molecular Probes), the dimethylmethylene blue dye-binding assay and a modified hydroxyproline assay, respectively (n=5). Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity (n=5) was detected using a colorimetric assay. Von Kossa, alcian blue and picrosirius red were used to stain for mineral, GAG and collagen, respectively (n=2). Statistical Analysis: ANOVA and the Tukey-HSD test was used (p<0.05).

Results: <u>Scaffold Characterization</u>: A Ca/P molar ratio of 1.41 ± 0.02 for the CDA ceramic was determined using ICP analysis. SEM revealed a comparable mean fiber diameter for all scaffold groups (1.1-1.4 µm). <u>Cell</u> <u>Response</u>: Chondrocytes remained viable and proliferated over time in all groups with more cells on day 7 than day 1 (p<0.05). By day 42, the 20% CDA group had higher cell number than the ceramic-free control (p<0.05). The highest ALP activity was detected for the 10% group on day 1, with lower ALP activity for all groups after day 1 (p<0.05, Fig 1). <u>Matrix Deposition</u>: While higher GAG was detected in the 15% and 20% groups on day 42, the highest GAG content was measured for the 20% group (p<0.05). The highest collagen production at day 14 was found in the 10% CDA group; however, by day 42, the 20% CDA group measured the highest collagen content. These observations were confirmed via histology (Fig 2).

Conclusions: Our results demonstrate that chondrocyte response is modulated by CDA dose within polymer microfibers. Specifically, 20% CDA in a PLGA:PCL microfiber system resulted in enhanced GAG and collagen production compared to lower doses, similar to findings in agarose, in which matrix deposition was a function of ceramic dose. It is envisioned that this scaffold can be used to augment current cartilage grafts by acting as a temporary barrier during cartilage repair and provide a template for stable osteochondral interface regeneration. **References:** [1]Lu et al., 2010. [2]Hunziker et al., 2001.[3]Boushell et al., 2012. [4]Moffat et al, 2009. Acknowledgments: NIH-NIAMS(5R01AR055280), T32-AR059038 (MKB)





